Key Findings on Capacity Building and Regional Dialogue
Agreement on Statements (Capacity Building)

Q13: “How much you agree or disagree with the following statements?”
Base: Total respondents

- **CAI Asia has been very effective in promoting understanding of AQ and bringing together various institutions / individuals to share information on AQ problems and solutions.**
- **Moreover, it has also influenced cities / countries to undertake concrete AQM activities and to a lesser extent, contributed to the development of AQM policies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAI-Asia Activities</th>
<th>Mean Scores</th>
<th>Total (n=98) %</th>
<th>Member (n=66) A %</th>
<th>Non-member (n=32) B %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAI-Asia activities contributed to development of AQM policies in area/country</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI-Asia activities resulted in more Asian cities/countries undertake concrete AQM activities</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAI-Asia successfully brought together various institutions/individuals to share information on AQ problems and solutions</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>85 B</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Top 2 Box Scores:** Total who answered either strongly agree or agree

- **AB** – Significant at 95% confidence level

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neither agree nor disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
- **Blue** = Strongly agree
- **Teal** = Agree
- **Pink** = Neither agree nor disagree
- **Red** = Disagree
- **Orange** = Strongly disagree
Regional Dialogue

*Base: Total respondents*

- Majority perceive CAI-Asia as effective in promoting cooperation in Asia.
- Although about half are familiar with the strategic framework, there is still potential to increase awareness on this, particularly among non-members.
- About six out of 10 survey participants claim that APMA and CAI-Asia’s strategic framework helped them in their work.

Q14. “How would you rate your familiarity with APMA and CAI-Asia’s Strategic Framework for AQM in Asia?”

Q15. “Does APMA and CAI-Asia’s Strategic Framework for AQM in Asia help you in your work?”

Q16. “In your opinion, how effective has CAI-Asia been in coordinating and promoting cooperation on AQ-related activities in Asia?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Non-member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Top 2 Box Scores

- Very effective: 21%
- Somewhat effective: 39%
- Can’t say: 32%
- Somewhat not effective: 8%
- Not at all effective: 0%

- Very familiar: 10%
- Familiar: 49%
- Somehow: 33%
- Slightly: 4%
- Not at all: 3%

- Very helpful: 14%
- Helpful: 40%
- Somehow: 28%
- Slightly: 10%
- Not at all: 8%